IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

NORMA BROWN GRIFFIN,

v.

Petitioner.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:17-cv-03111

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA ex rel. HOME CONFINEMENT DEPARTMENT OF GREENBRIER COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA,

Respondents.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On June 1, 2017, the Petitioner, proceeding *pro se*, filed a Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Documents 2 & 3). Subsequently, on June 27, 2017, the Petitioner filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Document 18). On July 13, 2017, the Respondents filed a Motion to Dismiss (Document 24).

By *Standing Order* (Document 6) entered on June 2, 2017, this action was referred to the Honorable Omar J. Aboulhosn, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On December 21, 2017, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 38) wherein it is recommended that the Petitioner's Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Document 18) be denied, the Respondents' Motion to Dismiss (Document 24) be granted, the Petitioner's Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Documents 2 & 3) be

1

dismissed without prejudice, and this matter be removed from the Court's docket. Objections to

the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by January 8, 2018.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and*

Recommendation. The Court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, the

factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or

recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985).

Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and a party's right to appeal

this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th

Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS and incorporates herein the findings and

recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the Proposed Findings and

Recommendation, and ORDERS that the Petitioner's Motion for Preliminary Injunction

(Document 18) be **DENIED**, the Respondents' Motion to Dismiss (Document 24) be **GRANTED**,

the Petitioner's Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a Writ of *Habeas Corpus* (Documents 2 & 3)

be **DISMISSED** without prejudice, and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

Aboulhosn, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

January 16, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2